File No. 741

1934.

Collection No.

A

30/6/47 Mar 1/7

Mysore Residency

Kapar

K P 97

Pros. Serial Nos. 1 – 6

Notes paras 1-4

Subject.

Representation from clerk P.H.Mahadevan

Previous References.

Interned

Later References.

NOTES AND PRECIS.

2/

S.N.1.

Endorsement No.N.Dis.6386-A-33 dated the ~~6th~~ 8th January 1934 from the Collector, C. & M. Station, Bangalore.

(1) It is not clear from the p.u.c. and its enclosures whether Mr.Mahadevan still retains a lien on his appointment in the Income-tax Office or whether he has been permanently transferred to the Veterinary Hospital. (In the latter case, the transfer amounts to a reduction to a lower post.) This may be ascertained from the Collector. The clerk's Service Book may also be obtained for reference. Draft submitted.

(2) Hayden 13/3/34

I hear that his permanent place is now in the Collector's office. Reply to the proposed reference will make this point clear.

Issue.

R. 14.3.34

No. 2310 dated the 25th March 1934.

3/

S.N. 3
F.J.C.

Letter No. 1587-A-34, dated the 9th April 1934, from the Collector, C.& M. Station, Bangalore.

---

(3) Please see paras 1 and 2 ante.

The requests of the petitioner are

(1) He may be given one of the 2 posts on Rs. 60-4-80 newly created in the Income-tax Office.

(2) An executive order may be issued so that his working in the grade of Rs. 35-2-55 may not affect him adversely at the time of retirement.

Request 1. It is for the Head of the Department to appoint persons whom he considers best suited to the posts under his control. No reply seems necessary on this point as there can be no appeal against non-promotion.

Request 2. From the Service Register it will be seen that he was appointed on probation on 1-11-25 as a clerk in the Income-Tax Office on Rs. 35-2-65. He has now been transferred as a permanent clerk of the Collector's Office on the same scale of pay with effect from 1-10-33 and so he has no grievance.

If approved he may be informed accordingly.

Draft submitted.

S. Singaroyan
16-5-34

(From para 1)

SXN
16.5.34

Seen

Close
17.5.34

S.N. 4

Issued No. 3769, dated the 24th May 1934.

P.U.C.

D.No. 7477 S.N. 5

---

(4)

Please see para 3 of the foregoing notes and S.N. 4.

If approved the petitioner may be informed that the Secretary is not prepared to grant him an interview.

S. Singaroyam
28-8-34

28-8-34

30-8-34

S.N. 6
Issued No. F.741/R.O.I/34, dated the 8th September 1934.

Record

15-9-2024

CORRESPONDENCE.

S.N.1

N. Dis. 6386-A-33. Enc. 8



Forwarded.

I see no reason to reconsider the orders passed in my No.4349-A-33 dated 7-9-33, copy enclosed.

Office of the Collector,
C & M Station, Bangalore.
Dated 6th January 1934.

COLLECTOR.

12

Memorandum No.4349-A-33 dated the 7th September 1933, from the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, to Mr. P.H. Mahadevan, Clerk, Veterinary Hospital, C & M Station, - Bangalore, through the Veterinary Superintendent, Civil and - Military Station, Bangalore.

His application dated 28-8-33 received with Veterinary Superintendent's endorsement No.V-722-33 dated - 28-8-1933.

I appointed the persons I considered best suited to the posts in question.

I am not prepared to reconsider that decision.

" C O P Y "

M. Seeshaiya
6-1-34.
for SHERISTADAR.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident and
Commissioner of Income Tax,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

The humble petition of P.H.Mahadevan, Clerk Income Tax Office, but doing duty as Clerk, Government Veternary Hospital, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Your petitioner has completed the Intermediate Arts course of the Madras University and passed the Book-keeping (Higher Grade) examination of the Madras Government, in April 1926. He knows type-writing also.

Before he was appointed in the Income Tax Office, he was employed for over three years in the Political Department of the Bombay Secretariat as can be seen from the Certificate granted by the Assistant Secretary of that Department quoted below.

> "During the time Mr. Mahadevan was employed in the Secretariat, his work was satisfactory and his attendance very regular."

In November 1924, your petitioner was appointed as an "Arrear Collection Clerk" in the Income Tax Office on a fixed salary of Rs35/-.

In that capacity he had to do an uphill task as could be seen from the following.

(a). There was no correct Demand Collection and Balance Register and each case had to be looked into in detail covering a period of 6 years.

(b). Action not having been taken under Section 46 of the Income Tax Act within one year from the last day of the year in which the Assessment

was made, a sum of about Rs40,000/- had lapsed as time barred. That he also collected a large sum of "Lapsed taxes" as could be seen from the files and from the Quarterly Statements sent to the S.R. and C.I.T. Finally about Rs30,000/- was written off as irrecoverable.

Though the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident ordered that quarterly D.C.B. Statements should be sent from April 1925, it was not possible to send one for want of necessary details. Your petitioner thinks that a note to that effect was made when they were sent subsequently.

In this connection your petitioner prays that the explanation he gave in the case of Messrs. Hajee Abdul Raheman & Co., may be called for.

"To sum up, to issue a warrant, by whom and for what amount" was then the problem.

When the Income Tax Office was re-organised in November 1925, your petitioner was given the post of the "Typist" in preference to others. From the draft Proceedings then submitted by the office to the Collector, it would be seen that his name was substituted for the one suggested by the office. This fully shows that the then Collector Mr. Russell fully appreciated his work.

Though designated as the "Typist" of the office, your petitioner was in charge of (a). Collections and (b). Returns.

(a). Collection of Taxes. About collection of taxes already referred to in detail the following figures will show how the arrears were reduced.

Arrear balance on 31-3-1924.	Rs2,04,629.
1925.	91,931.
1926.	29,884.
1927.	4,989.
1928.	2,544.
1929.	760.

15

His work as Collection Clerk was appreciated as can be seen from the annual "Note on the working of the Income Tax Department," submitted by the Collector and A.C., to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident. On the note for 1926-27 or 1927-28 special mention has been made about collection by the Hon'ble the Resident.

In this connection, your humble petitioner begs to submit that for liquidating arrears of the Municipal Revenue Department a bonus of Rs1,000/- was paid to the Revenue Manager.

(b). Returns. In this connection, your petitioner begs to invite a reference to a Note prepared by A.C. Mr. Russell to the Member, Central Board of Revenue and to the Commissioner of Income Tax Madras, who visited Bangalore in July 1925. That there were real difficulties in the preparation of Returns was one of the points raised in that Note.

For the year 1925-26, Return No.IV was not sent to the Central Board of Revenue, and in this connection, your petitioner begs to refer to a D.O. received by the Hon'ble the Resident from the Member, Central Board of Revenue and the Collector's reply thereto. "These Returns were not correctly and fully prepared in the past by maintaining necessary Register and the returns sent yearly were not therefore correct" was the substance of the reply. But from 1926-27 onwards these returns were correctly prepared and submitted in time.

In recognition of the services rendered as particularised above, your petitioner requestad on 10-8-1928 that he might be given 5 premature increments as under.

3 years Bombay service.	3.
From 1-11-1924 to 30-10-1925.	1.
Increment falling due on 1-11-1928.	1.

but his request was not granted.

In August 1929, your petitioner submitted another application for a better grade of pay on which the then Income Tax

Officer recommended that he might be given an allowance of Rs15/- as your petitioner had to deal with large sums of money. On his petition the present Collector questioned whether the Typist was doing all this, meaning thereby that his work was varied and too much. He was orally informed that this prayer was not granted.

In this connection your petitioner begs to submit that it is no offence to ask for better pay, as it is the accepted policy of Government, "That Government should pay so much and so much only to their employees as is necessary to obtain recruits of the right stamp and to maintain them in such a degree of comfort and dignity as will shield them from temptation and keep them efficient for the term of their service."

Your petitioner was greatly disappointed because he was overworked and underpaid. The then Income Tax Officer suggested that your petitioner might ask for change of work which he truly did. On which he was informed that he would be given change of work in April 1930 in that office alone.

But to his great surprise, he receiged Collector's Proceedings No.5032-A-29 dated 29-10-1929 asking him to do duty in the Veterinary Hospital and he obeyed the orders of the Collector because by working in the hospital his pay was in no way affected.

On an objection raised by the Audit Office, the Collector passed his Proceedings No.4602-A-30 dated 21-10-1930 transferring his services to the Veterinary Hospital. Mention was, however, made in his Proceedings as under:

> "The Veterinary Superintendent should tell Clerk Mahadevan that his transfer to the Veterinary Hospital will not affect him adversely and that his case will be considered when he reaches 55 in 35-2-55 or even earlier, <u>when occasion arises</u>."

Your petitioner then felt that his claims were being passed over and he desired to go in appeal to the Secretary to the

Hon'ble the Resident as his case appeared to have been treated as per F.R.15 which he gives below for ready reference.

> " A Government servant shall not, save in cases of inefficienty or misbehaviour be transferred substantively to a post carrying less pay than his relative position in the cadre on the service would justify."

In the subsequent interview your petitioner had with the Collector, he (Collector) was pleased to give your petitioner an assurance that his transfer would in no way injure his future prospects.

In this connection, your petitioner begs to submit that he was not reduced within the meaning of F.R.15 read with S.R.201.

1. He was not informed of any Proceedings to the effect that he was reduced.
2. and if he had been reduced, as per S.R.201 an entry to that effect ought to have been made in the service Register.

But on the other hand, your petitioner had his lien in the Income Tax Office itself.

In his Notice under Article 436 of the C.S.R. dated 23-11-1931, the Collector and Assistant Commissioner of Income-Tax has addressed your petitioner as

> " P.H. Mahadevan, Typist, Income Tax Office working in Veterinary Hospital. He will, however be provided with a Clerk's post in some other arrangements."

Your petitioner then dropped the idea of appealing to higher authorities because he wanted to obey the orders of the Collector.

That he had his lien in the Income Tax Office till 30-9-'33 would be seen from Collector's Proceedings No. 4916-A-33 dated 29-9-33

From the developments that have since taken place in the Income Tax Office, your petitioner felt that his doing duty in

Veterinary Hospital had affected him adversely and he then ~~applied~~ appealed to the Collector but was painfully disappointed.

S.N. 44
208/31

In the Income Tax Office, recently two posts were created on 60-4-80 and your petitioner submits that had he been allowed to do duty in that office alone, he would have got one of the two posts, instead of the Stenographer, your petitioner's junior in service in that office, getting one of them. While making appointments to the newly created posts in question, your petitioner's name was not even mentioned for the information of the Collector as one of the claimants. In this connection your petitioner submits that he was appointed as the Acting Head Clerk of the Income Tax Office in a two months leave vacancy in the beginning of 1929-30 in preference to his seniors in service and this only showed that his work till April 1929 was fully appreciated by the present Collector.

In his petition to the Collector he submitted that he might be given one of the two posts newly created for which he received a negative reply.

He also submitted that by doing duty in a post carrying 35-2-55, his pension will also be affected for which he received no reply.

Even in the Veterinary Hospital his work was heavy and only after the Saunders Report, he got some relief. In this connection your humble petitioner begs leave to quote what Mr. Saunders, Director of Veterinary Services, Madras, has said about the Clerk of the hospital.

> " The clerk attached to the Veterinary Hospital, who does both drafting and typing, keeps accounts and prepares statements relating to the receipts and charges of the Veterinary Hospital should be a well qualified and capable man and the pay of the post should be such as to attract the right type of man".

Your petitioner also submits that he has done his duties to the best of his ability giving entire satisfaction to his

Officers in whichever office he was asked to do duty.

Mr. E.C. Ward, one of the Income Tax Officers under whom your petitioner served has observed in his Service Register, "An intelligent Clerk, quick, accurate, prompt in his work."

The petitioner therefore prays that one of the posts newly created may be ordered to be given to him and also prays that an Executive order may kindly be issued so that his working in 35-2-55 may not affect him adversely at the time of retirement.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D H Ramaswamy.

Bangalore,
21st December '33

Political Department,
Secretariat, Fort,
Bombay, 18th August 1922.

C E R T I F I C A T E.

Mr. P. H. Mahadevan was employed on the Temporary Establishment of the Political Department of the Bombay Secretariat from the 21st July 1920. On account of reduction in the establishment from the 1st September 1922, Mr. Mahadevan's services are no longer required. During the time Mr. Mahadevan was employed in the Secretariat his work was satisfactory and attendance very regular.

Sd/- J. A. Arratoon,

Assistant Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Political Department.

True copy,

J.H.L. Burns.

for Superintendent.

SF.27.

Bangalore, dated the 10th September'28

To

The Collector and Asst. Commr. of Incometax,

Thro' The Incometax Officer,
C. & M. Station, Bangalore.

Sir,

With profound respect and humble submission, I beg to place the following for your kind consideration and favourable orders.

(2) I have completed the Intermediate Arts Course of the Madras University and passed the Book-keeping (Higher Grade) Examination of the Madras Government. I know typewriting also.

(3) Before I was entertained in this department, I was employed for over three years in the Political Department of the Bombay Secretariat on a scale of Rs. 60-4-180 and during that period I earned two increments (vide Certificate attached). In July 1924, I was allowed to act in a leave vacancy for about a month in this Incometax Office from 1-11-1924, I was appointed as "Arrear Collection Clerk" on a fixed salary of Rs.35/-. When the Incometax Department was reorgainsed on 1-11-1925, I was given the post of the Typist carrying a salary of Rs.35-2-65. I have since earned two increments and third falls due on 1-11-1928.

(4) Though officially designated as a 'Typist', I do the work of a Clerk as will be seen the distribution list. Besides the work alloted to me, I attend to the preparation of (1) Monthly and quarterly D.C.B. statements (2) Budget Estimates and (3) Revenue Administration Report.

(5) The Collection of Incometax was badly in arrears in 1924-25. There was no correct D.C.B. Statement then. Now it is upto date and this will be borne out from what you were pleased to write in the Administration Report for

1927-28.

(6) As per distribution list, I have to prepare Annual Returns Nos. 1 to 6 to be submitted to the Government of India. Returns Nos. 3 and 4 were not correctly and properly prepared by maintaing the necessary registers was also known to you. Only for the last 2 years (1926-27 and 1927-28) these returns were prepared correctly by maintaing the necessary statistical registers.

(7) From the above it will be seen that I have worked hard and effectively, giving entire satisfaction in the discharge of my responsible as well as difficult duties.

(8) In consideration of my past Government Service and of the good work turned out by me, I respectfully request that my case may be recommended to the S. R. & C. I. T. for the grant of 5 advance increments including the one that falls due on the 1st November 1928.

(9) In this connection, I most respectfully beg to invite a kind reference to the decisions of the Government of India under F. R. 22 which runs as follows:-

"The re-employment of a Government servant after resignation or after discharge on reduction of establishment amounts to a fresh appointment for the purpose of F.R. 22 and he will, therefore, draw the minimum of the time scale. If in any case, it is considered that a higher rate of pay should be given, the case can be dealt with under F.R. 27".

Thanking you,

I beg to remain, Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Sd. P. H. Mahadevan,

Typist-Clerk.

"C O P Y"

D.J. 5.11.30
Income Tax Officer,
C & M Sta: Bangalore.
4/11/30

Submitted to the Incometax Officer.

I beg to submit the following for your sympathetic and favourable orders.

Though officially designated as a 'Typist', I am entrusted with the duties of a responsible clerk as will be seen from the following.

In November 1924, when I was first entertained as the "Arrear Collection Clerk", the collection of Incometax was badly in arrearsa and there was no correct D. C. B. Statement even. I had to work under great odds and today the arrears have been collected as shown below:-

Arrear balance on	31-3-1923.	Rs. 3,11,177
do	1924	2,04,629
do	1925	91,931
Do	1926	29,884
do	1927	4,989
do	1928	2,544
do	1929	760

When the collection work was with the Municipality, they were paid 1% of the collections as their remuneration. For liquidating the Municipal arrears, a bonus of Rs. 1,000/- was paid to the Revenue Manager. I have to handle large sums of money which are paid by assessees in Office.

Annual Returns:- I am entrusted with the preparation of Returns I to VI which have to go annually to the Central Board of Revenue. Mr. Russell had to admit to Major Evans Gordon, when called upon to explain by the Central Board of Revenue, that these Returns were prepared at the end of the year without the aid of Statistical Registers and that they were not correct. From 1926-27 onwards, these Returns were being prepared correctly with the aid of necessary registers. In all the Incometax Offices of the Madras Presidency, these returns are prepared by one who draws a salary of Rs. 80-4-100 or 85-5-125. This shows how difficult, responsible and important the work is.

Military Assessment:-When this office was re-organised in November 1925, there was no idea of taking on file the

Officers and officials attached to the Military Department. Efforts were, however, made from 1927 to assess them also and as a result of same the Assigned Tract Revenues were benefitted to some extent at least as detailed below:

1926-27	Rs. 652
1927-28	2,102
1928-29	1,753.

In the years 1927-28 and 1928-29 I assisted to a large extent in the disposal of the Military cases and from 1-4-1929, I am in sole charge of same. There are over 400 assessees, almost the same number of Civil Salary assessees.

Besides these, I have to attend to other duties also. I have to assist the Head Clerk in the preparation of the Budget which is an important and at the same time a difficult work.

My pay in the grade of Rs.35-2-65 is Rs.41/- wh which is <u>very inadequate</u> considering the quality, quantity and nature of the work entrusted to me. It is not for me to say that, in all these years, I have given complete satisfaction to my superiors in the discharge of my very difficult duties.

May I therefore request you to be so good as to recommend me for a better scale of pay, Viz Rs.60-4-100 which is only a 'living wage' to my work in this department. The grade now given to me is only that of a 'Routine Clerk' but the work I am asked to do <u>is in no way of a 'routine' character</u> and hence my request to you.

SD. P. H. Mahadevan.

"C O P Y"

D.Y. Pork 5.11.30

4/11/30 Income Tax officer. C & MSTN: Bangalore.

To

The Incometax Officer,

Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have respectfully represented to you more than once that I might be given a change of work. From the time I joined this department, I am doing the same work, though from time to time my work has only been increased to. It is a common knowledge to you that the typist in all the Incometax Offices of the Madras Presidency look after only typing and despatch work. I further submit that clerks are given change of work once ~~xi~~ in two or three years.

Thanking you.

Your obedient servant,

SD. P.H. Mahadevan.

D/23-9-1929.

Typist.

" C O P Y"

D.V. Joshi 5.11.30.

Income Tax Officer,

Civil Stn: Bangalore.

4/11/30

E. No. 5032-A-29.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COLLECTOR AND ASST. COMMISSIONER OF INCOMETAX.

Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Dated the 29th October 1929.

G.H. Cooke Esq., M.C., I.C.S.

Read:- Endorsement No.V/1057 dated 28-10-1929 of the Veterinary Superintendent on petition dated 28-10-29 from K.A. Adiseshan, Clerk, Government Veterinary Hospital, Bangalore.

ORDER.

With effect from the forenoon of 11-11-1929 clerk P.H. Mahadevan of the Income Tax Office will do duty in the Government Veterinary Hospital, Bangalore, and clerk K.A. Adiseshan of the Government Veterinary Hospital in the Income Tax Office, Bangalore.

2. This order will not affect the pay of the officials concerned, which will continue to be drawn in the Income Tax Office and Veterinary Hospital, respectively.

(Sd) P.M. Raman Nayar,

For Collector & Asst. Commissioner of Income Tax.

Copy to Clerk P.H. Mahadevan, with reference to his application dated 1-11-1929.

Income Tax Officer.

Ref. No. 4602-A-30.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.

Dated 21st October 1930.

C.H. Cooke Esq., M.C., I.C.S.,

Transfer of Certain Clerks - Ordered -

This Office Proceedings Nos.5032-A-29 dated the 29th October 1929 and 2270-A-30 dated the 8th May 1930 and Pay and Accounts Officer's objection BHM dated the 2nd October 1930.

P.H. Mahadevan of the Income Tax Office is transferred to the Government Veterinary Hospital, Bangalore, with effect from the forenoon of 1-10-1930.

K.P. Nanjappa Reddy, Clerk, Collector and District Magistrate's Office, is transferred to the Income Tax Office, Vice No.1.

C. Karunakaran Nayar, Clerk, Veterinary Hospital, is transferred to the Collector and District Magistrate's Office Vice No.2.

(Sd). P.M. Ambrose,
for Collector & D.Magte.

To

The Veterinary Superintendent, Government Veterinary Hospital, Bangalore with a copy of the Pay & Accounts Officer's Objection. The pay of No.1 should be drawn in his office with effect from 1-10-1930 and his service register maintained there.

The Veterinary Superintendent should tell Clerk Mahadevan that his transfer to the Veterinary Hospital will not affect him adversely and that his case will be considered when he reaches 55/- in 35-2-05 or even earlier, when occasion arise.

Copy given to the Clerk Mahadevan for information.

Veterinary Superintendent

S.N.2

2

N02310

2573/34

From

Secretary to the Resident.

To

The Collector,
C. & M. Station, Bangalore.

S.N.1.
P.R.P.

Reference:- Your endorsement No.N.Dis. 6386-A-33 dated the 6th January 1934 forwarding a representation from Mr.P.H.Mahadevan, Clerk, Veterinary Hospital, C. & M. Station, Bangalore.

It may kindly be stated whether Clerk Mr.Mahadevan still retains a lien on his appointment in the Income-tax Office or whether he has been permanently transferred to the Veterinary Hospital or to any other office. His Service Book brought up to date may be sent with the reply.

14.3.34

for SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

13/3/34

To
15/3

S.N.3 17

No. 1587-A-34.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.

Dated 9th April 1934.



From

L. J. MacIver Esq., I.C.S.,
COLLECTOR, CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

Representation from Mr. P.H. Mahadevan, clerk, Veterinary Hospital - your No.2310 dated 25-3-34.

Mr. Mahadevan had a lien on his appointment in the Income-tax office till 30-9-33 when he was permanently transferred to the Collector & District Magistrate's office. He continues to work in the Veterinary Hospital holding a lien on his appointment in the Collector's office. I enclose his service register.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

COLLECTOR.

S.N. 4

DEPARTMENT.

BRANCH.

File No. 117

Serial No.

Draft Letter / ~~Memorandum~~ / Telegram

Class for telegrams: Ordinary / Express / Urgent* / Priority / Clear Line† — *Only for Foreign Telegrams. †Not for Foreign Telegrams.

No. 3769 Dated 2-4-5- 1934

From Secy.

The Secy. to the Resident.

To

The Collector,

C.& M. Station, Bangalore.

	To be issued on	Issued on
1st Reminder		
2nd Reminder		
3rd Reminder		
Heading :		
Case or Diary No.		
If post copy of telegram required		
Date of despatch of above	S.N. 1 of N	
List of enclosures		

(Code word for telegrams.)

S.N. 3
F.U.C.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your -- endorsement No. N.Dis.6386-A-33, dated the 6th January 1934, and to your letter No. 1587/A-34, dated the 9th April -- 1934 regarding the representation of Mr. P.H.Mahadevan, Clerk, Veterinary Hospital.

In reply I am to say that Mr. Mahadevan should have no grievance in view of the fact that though working as clerk in the Veterinary Hospital, he is borne on the permanent establishment of the office of the Collector and Dist. Magistrate as clerk on Rs. 35-2-65 and holds a lien on that post. I

am

S. S.
MGIPS—S1—207 .GPS. DGP—(M.200)—27-4-32—60,000 Pads.

am to request that he may be informed accordingly.

I am also to return his Service -- Registenrforwarded with your letter No. 1587-A-34, dated the 9th April 1934.

S. N. 3

I have etc.,

Clone 17/5

Secretary to the Resident.

S. Singaroyan
16-5-34

16.5.34

S. N. 5

20

N.Dis. 4062-A-34 Rec-2.

Forwarded.

The Petitioner presented an application on 11-6-34 addressed to you with reference to your No:3769, dated,24-5-34 requesting that he may be given the post on 60-4-80 in the Income Tax Office, but I withheld the application under rule 14 (4) of the G.I. Notn No.F. 9-19/30, dated, 27-2-1932, as no new grounds were adduced.

15.8.34

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.
BANGALORE.
14 AUG. 1934
Regd No. 7477
Pte. No. 741/34

Page 185 of the Gazette of India dated 27.2.32 (Part I)

Collector & D.M.

DESPATCHED
12 AUG. 1934

1259

121

Bangalore, 9th August 1934.

BENCH OF MAGISTRATE'S COURT
9 - AUG. 1934
CIVIL & MILITARY STN. BANGALORE

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore, Bangalore

Thro: The District Magistrate,
Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Sir,

THE COLLECTOR & DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
BANGALORE
10 AUG. 1934
No. 4.a.62
C & M. STATION

S.N. 4 & (N)
[illegible] 13

I beg to submit Collector's Memorandum wherein the Collector has been pleased to permit me to seek an interview with the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, in connection with my appeal disposed of in S.R.No.3769 dated 24-5-34. I request to be kindly informed when it would be convenient for the Secretary to grant me an interview for which I shall be much grateful.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

P. K. Ruhadevan
Clerk,
Bench Court.

No: 487/34 of 9/8/34 Enclose.
Forwarded to the District Magistrate, Civil Station, Bangalore.

[illegible]
9.8.34
Chairman.

9.8.34.

Memorandum.

Dated 2nd August 1934

No.3802-A-34.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR AND
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
C. & M. STATION,
BANGALORE.

To

Mr. P.H. Mahadevan,
Officiating clerk,
Bench Court,
Thro: the Chairman, Bench of Magistrates

Permission to interview the Secretary to the
dated 30-7-34.

I have no objection to your applying to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore for an -- interview in connection with your representation.

BENCH OF MAGISTRATES COURT
1934
CIVIL & MILITARY STN. BANGALORE

2/8/34.

P.T.O.

Forwarded to Clerk, Mr P.H. Mahadevan.

2.8.

for COLLECTOR & D.M.

Clearance Certificate

DESPATCHED
2 AUG. 1934

2/8/34.

S. N. 6

23

Done
Issued
MS
8/9/34

DEPARTMENT.

BRANCH.

File No.

Serial No.

Draft Letter / Memorandum / Telegram

Class for telegrams	Ordinary / Express / Urgent* / Priority / Clear Line†	*Only for Foreign Telegrams. †Not for Foreign Telegrams.

No. F.741/R.O.I/34 Dated 8/9/ 1934

To

The Collector & Dist. Magistrate,
C.& M. Station, Bangalore.

To be issued on	Issued on
1st Reminder	
2nd Reminder	
3rd Reminder	
Heading :	
Case or Diary No.	
If post copy of telegram required	
Date of despatch of above	
List of enclosures	

(Code word fo telegrams.)
S.N. 5
F.U.C.

Interview with the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your endorsement No. N.Dis.4062-A-34, dated the 13th August 1934, and to request that Mr. P.H.Mahadevan may kindly be informed that the Secretary to the Resident is not prepared to grant him an interview ~~regarding his~~ appeal which was disposed of in this Residency letter No. 3769, dated the ~~24th May 1934.~~

In connection with his representation dated 21st Dec. 1933, on which S.N. 4 of "N" Pages 18 + 19 orders have already been passed after ~~full~~ consideration.

I have etc.,

Clone

3/8 ~~Assistant~~ Secy. to the Resident.

S. Singaroyan
28-8-34
JOW
28.8.34

S. 5.
MGIPC—S1—207 (CPS) DCF—(M-260)—27-4-32—60,000 Pads